

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/044,173	CATES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ula C. Ruddock	1771	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Ula C. Ruddock.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Cheryl Brickey.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 November 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Sherman et al. (US 3,574,791 and 3,728,151)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant has agreed to cancel claims 1-5, 10-13, and 24-27. Applicant has also agreed to incorporate the amendment of now cancelled claim 1 into claim 14. Applicant has also agreed to change the dependency of the remaining claims to depend on claim 1..